

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

**CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar,
State Information Commissioner.**

Appeal 148/2016

Shri Pedrito Misquitta,
H.No. 234/B, Souza Vaddo,
Candolim,
bardez, Goa.

....Appellant

V/s.

1.The State Public Information Officer,
Office of the Village Panchayat Candolim,
Candolim, Bardez Goa.

2.The First Appellate Authority,
Block Development officer, Bardez –I
Mapusa,Goa.

..Respondent

Appeal filed on: 17/08/2016

Decided on: 23/03/2017

ORDER

1. The appellant herein Mr. Pedrito Misquitta by his application dated 04/04/2016 filed under section 6(1) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (RTI Act) sought certain information from the Respondent No. 1 Public Information Officer (PIO) O/o. Secretary Village Panchayat, Candolim, Bardez-Goa.
2. The said application was replied by PIO on 27/04/2016.
3. As according to the Appellant as the information as sought was not furnished he filed 1st appeal under section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005 before the BDO, being the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on 01/06/2016 who is Respondent No. 2 herein and the Respondent No. 2 FAA by an order dated 29/06/2016 allowed the said appeal and directed the Respondent No. 1 PIO to furnish the information free of cost to the Appellant within 10 days from the date of passing of the order.

4. Since no information was received by him despite of the order of Respondent No. 2 First Appellate Authority (FAA) the appellant approached by way of second appeal on 17/08/2016 and prayed for direction for furnishing him information on point No. 2,5,6 and 8 of his RTI application and for invoking penal provisions.
5. Notice were issued to the party. Pursuant to which the appellant was present in person. Respondent No. 1 PIO was represented by Advocate Morajkar. In the course of the hearing the Advocate for the PIO offered to the appellant the information as sought by him and accordingly same came to be furnished to the appellant.
6. The Appellant was requested by this Commission to report whether said information was furnished as per his request. On the subsequent date of hearing the appellant submitted that information which came to be furnished to him stands duly replied and that he is satisfied with the information furnished to him. He further submitted that he doesnot desire to proceed with the matter as his object was to seek the information and not to penalise PIO. He was gracious enough not to press for penalty. Accordingly he endorsed his say on the memo of the Appeal.
7. In view of above, Appeal disposed accordingly, proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost.

Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Sd/-

(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa

Kk/-

